What is the enthalpy change when 150. L of nitrogen monoxide are formed by this reaction at 25.0 C and 1.50 atm pressure?

- 1 Convert 150. L NO to moles. Use IDEAL GAS EQUATION.
- 2 Convert moles NO to enthalpy change. Use THERMOCHEMICAL EQUATION.

$$N_{No} = \frac{(1.50 \text{ atm})(150,L)}{(0.06206 \frac{L-nlm}{mol\cdot k})(298,2k)} = 9.194822849 \text{ mol } N0$$

Heat of formation / enthalpy of formation!
$$-20.50$$

$$0$$

$$-285.8$$

$$-296.8$$

$$2 H_2 S(g) + 3 O_2(g) \longrightarrow 2 H_2 O(l) + 2 SO_2(g)$$
(Appendix ())

What is the enthalpy change at standard conditions when 25.0 grams of hydrogen sulfide gas is reacted?

- 1 Calculate the enthalpy change for the reaction as written using HESS'S LAW.
- 2 Convert 25.0 g hydrogen sulfide to moles. Use FORMULA WEIGHT.
- 3 Convert moles hydrogen sulfide to enthalpy change. Use THERMOCHEMICAL EQUATION

$$\Delta H = \sum \Delta H_{5,products}^{o} - \sum \Delta H_{F,reactants}^{o}$$
  
 $= \left[2(-285.8) + 2(-296.8)\right] - \left[2(-20.50) + 3(0)\right] = -1124.2 \text{ WJ}$ 

So, the THERMOCHEMICAL EQUATION is ...

$$2H_2S(g) + 3O_2(g) \rightarrow 2H_2O(l) + 2SO_2(g); \Delta H = -1124.2 \text{ HJ}$$
  
 $234.086g H_2S = mol H_2S$   $32mol H_2S = -1124.2 \text{ KJ}$ 

Note: We use HESS'S LAW to complete the thermochemical equation ... which we need to have to solve the problem!

$$C_3H_8(g) + 50_2(g) \rightarrow 3Co_2(g) + 4H_2O(g); \Delta H = -2043 kJ$$

Calculate the volume of propane gas at 25.0 C and 1.08 atm required to provide 565 kJ of heat using the reaction above.


- 1 Convert energy requirement to moles propane using THERMOCHEMICAL EQUATION
- 2 Convert moles propane to volume using IDEAL GAS EQUATION

Since the reaction is the SYSTEM and the reaction is PROVIDING the energy, the reaction is actually LOSING 565 kJ ... So we should give it a NEGATIVE sign in the calculation (exothermic).

2 PV=nRT | P=1.08 atm R=0.08206 L-alm molik  

$$V = \frac{nRT}{p}$$
 |  $T = 25.0^{\circ}( = 298.2 \text{ K})$   
 $N = 0.2765540871$  nol (3 Hg) (0.08206 L-alm) (298.2 K)  
 $V = \frac{(0.2765540871 \text{ nol } (3 \text{ Hg})(0.08206 \frac{L-alm}{molik})(298.2 \text{ K})}{(1.08 \text{ atm})}$ 

LIGHT




- Light has properties of WAVES such as DIFFRACTION (it bends around small obstructions).
- Einstein noted that viewing light as a particle that carried an energy proportional to the FREQUENCY could explain the PHOTOELECTRIC EFFECT!

Ephoton = 
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$
Planck's constant: 6-63×10<sup>-34</sup> J-s

photon = particle or packet of light

(The photoelectric effect is the emission of electrons from a metal caused by exposure to light. Einstein discovered that if the light were not of the correct FREQUENCY, increasing the INTENSITY of the light would not cause electron emission. He concluded that individual photons must have enough energy to excite an electron - i.e. they must have the appropriate frequency.)

The photoelectric effect and Einstein's ideas about the energy content of light led us to discover a new model for the atom! How? Let's start with the nuclear model:




## Nuclear model:

- Protons and neutrons in a dense NUCLEUS at center of atom
- Electrons in a diffuse (mostly empty)
   ELECTRON CLOUD surrounding
   NUCLEUS.

... so what's wrong with the nuclear model? Among other things, it doesn't explain ...


## ATOMIC LINE SPECTRA

- if you take element and ATOMIZE it, if excited by energy it will emit light at unique frequencies. The set of emitted frequencies is called an ATOMIC LINE SPECTRUM.



... so, why don't atoms by themselves emit continuous spectra like a flashlight would?


- The regular patterns of emission and absorption of light by atoms suggest that the electron cloud has some sort of regular structure. The specific frequencies of light emitted and abosrbed relate to specific values of ENERGY in the electron cloud.



Bohr's model was the first proposal that predicted the existence of atomic line spectra, and it exactly predicted the spectra of hydrogen and "hydrogen-like" (i.e. one-electron) species.


The spectra were "off" for multi-electron atoms.





Multi-electron atoms have interactions between electrons, not just interactions between electrons and nucleus!

- The additional interactions in multi-electron atoms introduced added complexity to the model of the atom! Bohr's model was too simple.
- Improvements in Bohr's model came from treating electrons as WAVES.



... for very large particles, the wavelength is very small.