What is the enthalpy change when 150. L of nitrogen monoxide are formed by this reaction at 25.0 C and 1.50 atm pressure?

1 - Convert 150 L of NO to moles using ideal gas equation.

2 - Convert moles NO to enthalpy change using thermochemical equation.

$$\frac{PV = nRT}{RT} | P=1.50 \text{ atm} \quad V = 150.L$$

$$\frac{PV}{RT} = n \quad \left| R = 0.08206 \frac{L \cdot atm}{mul \cdot k} \quad T=25.0\% = 298.2 k \right|$$

$$D N_{NO} = \frac{(1.50 \text{ atm})(150.L)}{(0.08206 \frac{L \cdot atm}{mul \cdot k})(298.2 k)} = 9.194822849$$

$$mol ND$$

4 mol NO = -906 65

$$9,194822849$$
 mol NO x  $\frac{-906kJ}{4mol NO} = -2080 kJ$  (2)

Heat of formation / enthalpy of formation!

Heat of formation / enthalpy of formation!  

$$-20.50$$
Heat of formation / enthalpy of formation!  
 $-285.8$ 
 $-296.8$ 
 $\Delta H_{f}^{o}, kJ/mol$   
 $2H_{2}S(g) + 3O_{2}(g) \longrightarrow 2H_{2}O(l) + 2SO_{2}(g)$ 
(Appendix ())

 $\cap$ 

What is the enthalpy change at standard conditions when 25.0 grams of hydrogen sulfide gas is reacted?

1 - Calculate the enthalpy change for the reaction as written using HEATS OF FORMATION.

2 - Convert 25.0 g hydrogen sulfide to moles using formula weight.

3 - Convert moles hydrogen sulfide to enthalpy change using thermochemical equation

$$\Delta H = \sum \Delta_{f}^{b} H_{I} products - \sum \Delta H_{f}^{b} reactants$$

$$= \left[ 2(-285.8) + 2(-296.8) \right] - \left[ 2(-20.50) + 3(0) \right] \qquad (1)$$

$$\Delta H = -1124.2 kJ$$
So, the thermochemical equation is:  

$$2 H_{2}S(g) + 3 O_{2}(g) \longrightarrow 2 H_{2}O(\ell) + 2 SO_{2}(g); \Delta H = -1124.2 kJ$$

$$3 H. 086 g H_{2}S = mol H_{2}S \left[ 2 mol H_{2}S = -1124.2 kJ \right]$$

$$2 S.O g H_{2}S \times \frac{mol H_{2}S}{34.086 g H_{2}S} \times \frac{-1124.2 kJ}{2 mol H_{2}S} = \frac{-412 kJ}{2 mol H_{2}S}$$

$$(2) \qquad (3)$$

34.086g/mol

-20.50

167 propane

$$(_{3}H_{8}(g) + 50_{2}(g) \rightarrow 3(0_{2}(g) + 4H_{2}O(g); \Delta H = -2043 kJ$$

Calculate the volume of propane gas at 25.0 C and 1.08 atm required to provide 565 kJ of heat using the reaction above.

Convert the energy requirement to moles PROPANE using the thermochemical equation.
 Convert moles propane to volume using ideal gas equation.

$$-2043 \text{ kJ} = \text{mul} (3H_8)$$
Since the reaction is PROVIDING the energy, the energy requirement is NEGATIVE from the point of view of the reaction!
$$-565 \text{ kJ} \times \frac{\text{mul} (3H_8}{-2043 \text{ kJ}} = 0.2765540871 \text{ mul} (3H_8)$$


$$PV = nRT | n = 0.2765540871 \text{ mul} (3H_8) P = 1.08 \text{ adm}$$

$$V = nRT | R = 0.08206 \frac{L \cdot atm}{\text{mul} \cdot \text{k}} T = 25.0^{\circ}\text{C} = 298.2 \text{ k}$$

$$V = \frac{(0.2765540871 \text{ mul} (3H_8)(0.08206 \frac{L \cdot atm}{\text{mul} \cdot \text{k}})(298.2 \text{ k})}{(1.08 \text{ adm})}$$

$$= 6.271L (3H_8) (25.0^{\circ}\text{C}, 1.08 \text{ dm})$$

## END OF CHAPTER 6




- Light has properties of WAVES such as DIFFRACTION (it bends around small obstructions).

- Einstein noted that viewing light as a particle that carried an energy proportional to the FREQUENCY could explain the PHOTOELECTRIC EFFECT!

$$E_{\frac{photon}{D}} = \frac{h}{L} \frac{\gamma}{Planck's constant: 6.63 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J-s}}$$
photon = particle or packet of light

(The photoelectric effect is the emission of electrons from a metal caused by exposure to light. Einstein discovered that if the light were not of the correct FREQUENCY, increasing the INTENSITY of the light would not cause electron emission. He concluded that individual photons must have enough energy to excite an electron - i.e. they must have the appropriate frequency.)

The photoelectric effect and Einstein's ideas about the energy content of light led us to discover a new model for the atom! How? Let's start with the nuclear model:



Nuclear model:


- Protons and neutrons in a dense NUCLEUS at center of atom

- Electrons in a diffuse (mostly empty) ELECTRON CLOUD surrounding NUCLEUS.

... so what's wrong with the nuclear model? Among other things, it doesn't explain ...


## ATOMIC LINE SPECTRA

- if you take element and ATOMIZE it, if excited by energy it will emit light at unique frequencies. The set of emitted frequencies is called an ATOMIC LINE SPECTRUM.



... so, why don't atoms by themselves emit continuous spectra like a flashlight would?

- The regular patterns of emission and absorption of light by atoms suggest that the electron cloud has some sort of regular structure. The specific frequencies of light emitted and abosrbed relate to specific values of ENERGY in the electron cloud.



Electrons can't be just ANYWHERE around a nucleus. They can exist only at certain distances from the nucleus. These distances correpsond to certain ENERGIES and are called ENERGY LEVELS!

Electrons CAN move (transition) between different energy levels by gaining or losing exactly enough energy to get into the new energy level. This was a DIRECT transition .

Bohr's model was the first proposal that predicted the existence of atomic line spectra, and it exactly predicted the spectra of hydrogen and "hydrogen-like" (i.e. one-electron) species.

The spectra were "off" for multi-electron atoms.